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ABSTRACT: In this paper, an empirical study of second order rotatable designs under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using incomplete block designs like pairwise balanced designs (PBD) and symmetrical unequal block arrangements ( SUBA) with two unequal block sizes are suggested. Further we study the variance function of the estimated response for different values of tri-diagonal correlated coefficient (ρ) and distance from center (d) for 6≤v≤15 (v-number of factors)).
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1. Introduction
Victorbabu (2014b) suggested an empirical study of SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using BIBD.

In this paper following the work of Das (2003), an empirical study of SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using incomplete block designs like PBD and SUBA with two unequal block sizes are suggested. Further we study the variance function of the estimated response for different values of tri-diagonal correlated coefficient (ρ) and also obtain the distance from center (d) for “v = 8 factor”.

2. Conditions for SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors (cf. Das (2003))

A Second order response surface design \( D = ((x_{iu})) \) for fitting,

\[
Y_u(x) = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{v} b_i x_{iu} + \sum_{i=1}^{v} b_{ii} x_{iu}^2 + \sum_{i \leq j} b_{ij} x_{iu} x_{ju}
\]

\[+ \varepsilon_u \quad (1)\]

where \( x_{iu} \) denotes the level of the \( i^{th} \) factor \((i=1,2, \ldots, v)\) in the \( u^{th} \) run \((u=1,2, \ldots, N=2n)\) of the experiment, \( \varepsilon_u \)'s are correlated random errors is said to be a SORD under tri-diagonal correlation structure of errors, if the variance of the estimated response of \( \hat{Y}_u \) from the fitted surface is only a function of the distance, \( (d^2 = \sum x_j^2) \) of the point \((x_{1u}, x_{2u}, \ldots, x_{vu})\) from the origin (centre) of the design. Such a spherical variance function for estimation of responses in the second order response surface is achieved if the design points satisfy the following conditions (cf. Das, 1997, 2003, 2004).

The necessary and sufficient conditions for second order rotatability under the tri-diagonal correlated variance - covariance structure in the model (1) are

\[
\text{(i)} \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{ju} = 0,
\]

\[
\text{(ii)} \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{ju} x_{lu} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq v;
\]

\[
\text{(iii)} \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu} x_{ju} = \rho \left\{ \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{i(n+u)} x_{ju} + \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{iu} x_{j(n+u)} \right\} = 0,
\]

\[1 \leq i \neq j \leq v; \]

\[
\text{(iv)} \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 x_{ju} = \rho \left\{ \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{i(n+u)}^2 x_{ju} + \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{iu}^2 x_{j(n+u)} \right\} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq v;
\]
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\[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}x_{ju}x_{lu} - \rho \left\{ \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{i(n+u)}x_{j(n+u)}x_{lu} + \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{i(n+u)}x_{iu}x_{l(n+u)} \right\} = 0, \]

\[ 1 \leq i < j, l \leq v \]

\[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}x_{ju}x_{lu} - \rho \left\{ \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{i(n+u)} x_{iu}x_{lu} + \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{i(n+u)} x_{l(n+u)} \right\} = 0, \]

\[ 1 \leq i, j < l \leq v; (j, l) \neq (i, i) \]

\[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}x_{ju}x_{lu}x_{tu} - \rho \left\{ \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{i(n+u)}x_{j(n+u)}x_{l(n+u)}x_{tu} + \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{i(n+u)}x_{l(n+u)}x_{t(n+u)} \right\} = 0, \]

\[ 1 \leq i, l < j, t \leq v; (i, j) \neq (l, t) \]

\[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 = \text{constant} \]

\[ = 2n\lambda_2 \]

\[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^4 = \text{constant} = 6n\lambda_4, \]

for all \( i \)

\[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 x_{ju}^2 = \text{constant} = 2n\lambda_4, \text{ for all } i, j \neq i \]

Using (3), (4) and (5) the design parameters of the tri-diagonal correlated structure are as follows:

\[ (1 - \rho)\{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)\}^{-1} \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 = 2n\lambda_2(1 - \rho) \]

\[ \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)}{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)} \]

\[ \{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)\}^{-1} \left[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 - 2\rho \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{iu} x_{i(n+u)} \right] = \frac{2n\lambda_2}{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)}, 1 \leq i \leq v \]
\[
\{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)\}^{-1} \left[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 - 2\rho \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{iu}^2 x_{i(n+u)}^2 \right] = 3 \left( \frac{2n\lambda_4}{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)} \right) ; 1 \leq i \\
\leq \nu
\]

(8)

\[
\{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)\}^{-1} \left[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 x_{ju}^2 - \rho \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{i(n+u)}^2 x_{ju}^2 + \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{iu}^2 x_{j(n+u)}^2 \right] \\
= \frac{2n\lambda_4}{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)} ; 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \nu
\]

(9)

\[
\{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)\}^{-1} \left[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 x_{ju}^2 - 2\rho \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{iu} x_{ju} x_{i(n+u)} x_{j(n+u)} \right] = \frac{2n\lambda_4}{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)} ; 1 \leq i < j \leq \nu
\]

(10)

From (8), (9) and (10),

\[
\{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)\}^{-1} \left[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 - 2\rho \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{iu}^2 x_{i(n+u)}^2 \right] \\
= 2 \left( \{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)\}^{-1} \left[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 x_{ju}^2 \right] \\
- \rho \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{iu} x_{ju} x_{i(n+u)} x_{j(n+u)} \right) \\
+ \{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)\}^{-1} \left[ \sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 x_{ju}^2 \right] \\
- \rho \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{i(n+u)}^2 x_{ju}^2 + \sum_{u=1}^{n} x_{i(n+u)}^2 x_{j(n+u)}^2 \right]
\]

which implies to

\[
3 \left( \frac{2n\lambda_4}{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)} \right) \\
= 2 \left( \frac{2n\lambda_4}{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)} \right) \\
+ \frac{2n\lambda_4}{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)} \]

(11)

where \(N=2n\).
The variances and covariances of the estimated parameters under the tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors are as follows:

\[ V(\hat{b}_0) = \frac{\lambda_4(v + 2)(1 + \rho)\sigma^2}{2n \left[ \lambda_4(v + 2) - v\lambda_2^2(1 - \rho) \right]} \]

\[ V(\hat{b}_i) = \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)}{2n\lambda_2} \]

\[ V(\hat{b}_{ij}) = \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)}{2n\lambda_4} \]

\[ V(\hat{b}_{ii}) = \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)[\lambda_4(v + 1) - (v - 1)\lambda_2^2(1 - \rho)]}{2(2n)\lambda_4 [\lambda_4(v + 2) - v\lambda_2^2(1 - \rho)]} \]

\[ \text{Cov}(\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_{ii}) = \frac{-\lambda_2 \sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)}{2n \left[ \lambda_4(v + 2) - v\lambda_2^2(1 - \rho) \right]} \]

\[ \text{Cov}(\hat{b}_{ii}, \hat{b}_{jj}) = \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)[\lambda_2^2(1 - \rho) - \lambda_4]}{2(2n)\lambda_4 [\lambda_4(v + 2) - v\lambda_2^2(1 - \rho)]} \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

and other covariances are zero.

An inspection of the variance shows that a necessary condition for the existence of a non-singular second order design is

\[ \frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda_2^2} > \frac{v(1 - \rho)}{v + 2} \quad \text{(Non-singularity condition)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The variance of the response \( \hat{Y}_0 \) at any point estimated through the surface comes out as,

\[ V(\hat{Y}_u) = V(\hat{b}_0) + d^2[V(\hat{b}_i) + 2\text{cov}(\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_{ii})] + d^4V(\hat{b}_{ii}). \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Hence the variance of estimate of \( \hat{Y}_0 \) becomes,

\[ V(\hat{Y}_u) = \frac{\lambda_4(v + 2)(1 + \rho)\sigma^2}{2n \left[ \lambda_4(v + 2) - v\lambda_2^2(1 - \rho) \right]} \]

\[ + \left[ \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)}{2n\lambda_2} + 2\left(\frac{-\lambda_2 \sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)}{2n \left[ \lambda_4(v + 2) - v\lambda_2^2(1 - \rho) \right]} \right) \right] d^2 \]

\[ + \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)[\lambda_4(v + 1) - (v - 1)\lambda_2^2(1 - \rho)]}{2(2n)\lambda_4 [\lambda_4(v + 2) - v\lambda_2^2(1 - \rho)]} d^4 \]
3. An empirical study on SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using PBD

Following Tyagi (1964) and Das (2003) methods of constructions of SORD and RSORD, an empirical study on SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using PBD is studied.

Let \((v, b, r, k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_p, \lambda)\) is an equi-replicated PBD and \(k = \text{sup} \{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_p\}\). \(2^{t(k)}\) denotes a resolution V fractional factorial of \(2^k\) in \(\pm 1\) levels, in which no interaction with less than five factors is confounded and \(n_0\) denote the number of central points.

Consider a SORD using PBD having ‘n’ non-central design points. The set of ‘n’-non central design points are extended to \(2n\) design points by adding ‘n’ \((n_0=n)\) central points just below or above the ‘n’ non-central design points. Hence \(2n\) be the total number of design points \((N)\) of SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using PBD.

Case (i): when \(r<3\lambda\).

The design points, \([1-(v, b, r, k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_p, \lambda)] 2^{t(k)} \cup (a, 0, 0, \ldots, 0) 2^{t(k)} \cup (n_0)\), will give a \(v\)-dimensional SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors in \(N = 2n, n = (2t(k) + 2v)\) design points, with \(a^4 = (3\lambda - r)2^{t(k)-1}\).

Proof: For the design points generated from the PBD, simple symmetry conditions are true. Further we have the reduced conditions,

\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 = r2^{t(k)} + 2a^2 = \text{constant}
\]

\[
= 2n\lambda_2
\]

(16)

\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^4 = r2^{t(k)} + 2a^4 = \text{constant}
\]

\[
= 3(2n)\lambda_4,
\]

(17)

\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 x_{ju}^2 = \lambda 2^{t(k)} = \text{constant}
\]

\[
= 2n\lambda_4,
\]

(18)

From (17) and (18) we get \(a^4 = (3\lambda - r)2^{t(k)-1}\).

Substitute ‘a’ value in (16) and (17), we get the \(\lambda_2\) and \(\lambda_4\) values. The variance of the estimated response for a given ‘v’ is tabulated for \(\rho=-0.9\) (0.1) 0.9.
Case (ii): when \( r=3\lambda \)
The design points \([1-(v, b, r, k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_p, \lambda)] 2^{(k)} \cup (n_0)\) will give a \(v\)-dimensional SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors in \(N(=2n, n= b 2^{(k)})\) design points.

**Proof:** For the design points generated from the PBD, simple symmetry conditions are true. Further we have
\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 = r 2^{(k)} = \text{constant} = 2n\lambda_2
\]
(19)
\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^4 = r 2^{(k)} = \text{constant} = 3(2n)\lambda_4,
\]
(20)
\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 x_{ju}^2 = \lambda 2^{(k)} = \text{constant} = 2n\lambda_4,
\]
(21)

From (3.5) and (3.6) we get \( r = 3\lambda \).

From (19), (20) and (21) we get \( \lambda_2, \lambda_4 \) values. The variance of the estimated response for a given \( v \) is tabulated for \( \rho=-0.9 \) (0.1) 0.9.

Case (iii): Theorem (3.3) when \( r>3\lambda \).
The design points, \([1-(v, b, r, k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_p, \lambda)] 2^{(k)} \cup (a, a, a, \ldots, a) 2^{(v)} \cup (n_0)\) will give a \(v\)-dimensional SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors in \(N(=2n, n= b 2^{(k)} + 2^{(v)})\) design points, with \( a^4 = (r - 3\lambda)2^{(k)}-2^{(v)}-1 \).

**Proof:** For the design points generated from the PBD, simple symmetry conditions are true. Further we have
\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 = r 2^{(k)} + 2^{(v)}a^2 = \text{constant} = 2n\lambda_2
\]
(22)
\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^4 = r 2^{(k)} + 2^{(v)}a^4 = \text{constant} = 3(2n)\lambda_4,
\]
(23)
From (23) and (24) we get
\[ a^4 = (r - 3\lambda)2^{t(k)-t(v)-1} \]
Substituting ‘a’ value in (23) and (24) and on simplification we get the \( \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_4 \). The variance of the estimated response for a given ‘v’ is tabulated for \( \rho = -0.9 \) (0.1) 0.9.

**Example:** An empirical study of SORD for \( v = 8 \) factors with the help of PBD with parameters \( (v=8, b=15, r=6, k_1=4, k_2=3, k_3=2, \lambda=2) \) is given below. The design points, \([1-(v=8, b=15, r=6, k_1=4, k_2=3, k_3=2, \lambda=2)]2^4\cup(n_0 = 240)\) will give a 8-dimensional SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors in \( N = 480 \) design points. Hence the design points are \( N = 2n = 480, n = 240 \). Central points are added just below or above the non-central design points. Hence we get 480 design points.

From (19), (20) and (21), we have
\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 = 96
\]
\[= 2n\lambda_2, \quad (25)\]
\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^4 = 96
\]
\[= 3 \times (2n)\lambda_4, \quad (26)\]
\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 x_{ju}^2 = 32
\]
\[= 2n\lambda_4, \quad (27)\]
The non-singularity condition (13) is also satisfied.

**A study of dependence of the variance function of the response at different design points**

Here, dependence of variance function of response at different design points for SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using PBD with parameters \( (v=8, b=15, r=6, k_1=4, k_2=3, k_3=2, \lambda=2) \) with the tri-diagonal correlated coefficient ‘\( \rho \)’ and distance from centre ‘\( d \)’ is studied.

The variance function is given by
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\[ V(\hat{Y}_u) = V(\hat{b}_0) + [V(\hat{b}_1) + 2\text{Cov}(\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_{11})]d^2 + V(\hat{b}_{11})d^4 \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

\[ V(\hat{Y}_u) = \frac{0.6670(1 + \rho)\sigma^2}{480[0.6670 - 0.3200(1 - \rho)]} + \left(\frac{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)}{96} + 2\left(\frac{-0.2000\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)}{480[0.6670 - 0.3200(1 - \rho)]}\right)\right)d^2 + \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)[0.6003 - 0.2800(1 - \rho)]}{64.0320[0.6670 - 0.3200(1 - \rho)]}d^4 \hspace{1cm} (29) \]

\[ V(\hat{Y}_u) = 0.0024 \text{ (by taking } d=0.1, \rho=-0.9 \text{ and } \sigma=1). \]

For a given \( v \), the study of variance function of response at different design points for SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using PBD for \( v = 8 \) factor and distance from centre \( d \) for \( d=0.1 \) (0.1) 1 are tabulated.

In the first row of table-I, we calculate the variance of the estimated response for different factors of \( v \) at \( \rho=-0.9 \).

In the first row of table-II, we study the dependence of variance function at different design points for \( d=0.1(0.1)1 \) at \( \rho=-0.9 \).

4. An empirical study on SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using SUBA with two unequal block sizes

Following Raghavarao (1962, 1963) and Das (2003) methods of constructions of SORD and RSORD, an empirical study on SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using SUBA with two unequal block sizes is studied.

Let \((v, b, r, k_1, k_2, b_1, b_2, \lambda)\) is an equi-replicated SUBA with two unequal block sizes, \( k = \sup[k_1, k_2] \) and \( b=b_1+b_2 \). \( 2^{t(k)} \) denotes a resolution V fractional factorial of \( 2^k \) in \( \pm 1 \) levels, in which no interaction with less than five factors is confounded and \( n_0 \) denote the number of central points.

Consider a SORD using SUBA with two unequal block sizes having \( n \) non-central design points. The set of \( n \)-non central design points are extended to \( 2n \) design points by adding \( n \) \((n_0=n)\) central points just below or above the \( n \) non-central design points. Hence \( 2n \) be the total number of design points of the SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using SUBA with two unequal block sizes.
Case (i): when $r < 3\lambda$.

The design points, \([1-(v, b, r, k_1, k_2, b_1, b_2 \lambda)] \) \(2^{(k)} \cup (a, 0, 0, \ldots, 0) \) \(2^t \cup (n_0)\), \(k = \text{sup}[k_1, k_2]\) and \(b=b_1+b_2\) will give a \(v\)-dimensional SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors in \(N=2n\) design points, with \(a^4 = (3\lambda - r)2^{(k)}-1\).

**Proof:** follow by verifying the conditions of (2) to (5)

Case (ii): when $r = 3\lambda$.

The design points \([1-(v, b, r, k_1, k_2, b_1, b_2 \lambda)] \) \(2^{(k)} \cup (n_0)\), \(k = \text{sup}[k_1, k_2]\) and \(b=b_1+b_2\) will give a \(v\)-dimensional SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors in \(N=2n\) design points.

**Proof:** Proof follow by verifying the conditions of (2) to (5)

Case (iii): when $r > 3\lambda$.

The design points, \([1-(v, b, r, k_1, k_2, b_1, b_2 \lambda)] \) \(2^{(k)} \cup (a, a, a, \ldots, a) \) \(2^t \cup (n_0)\), \(k = \text{sup}[k_1, k_2]\) and \(b=b_1+b_2\) will give a \(v\)-dimensional SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors in \(N=2n\) design points, with \(a^4 = (r - 3\lambda)2^{(k)}-1\).

**Proof:** follow by verifying the conditions of (2) to (5).

**Example:** An empirical study of SORD for \(v=8\) factors with the help of SUBA with two unequal block sizes with parameters \((v=8, b=12, r=4, k_1=2, k_2=3, b_1=4, b_2=8, \lambda=1)\) is given below. The design points, \([1-(v=8, b=12, r=4, k_1=2, k_2=3, b_1=4, b_2=8, \lambda=1)] \) \(2^3 \cup (\pm a, \pm a, \ldots, \pm a)2^6 \cup (n_0 = 160)\). Hence the design points are \(N=2n=320, n=160\). Central points are added just below or above the non-central design points then we get 160 design points.

From (22), (23) and (24), we have

\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 = 32 + 64a^2
\]
\[
= 2n\lambda_2,
\]
\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^4 = 32 + 64a^4
\]
\[
= 3 (2n)\lambda_4,
\]
\[
\sum_{u=1}^{2n} x_{iu}^2 x_{ju}^2 = 8 + 64a^4
\]
\[
= 2n\lambda_4,
\]
From (31) and (32), we get $a=0.5$. The non-singularity condition (13) is also satisfied.

**A study of dependence of the variance function of the response at different design points**

Here, dependence of variance function of response at different design points for SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using SUBA with two unequal block sizes with parameters $(v=8, b=12, r=4, k_1=2, k_2=3, b_1=4, b_2=8, \lambda=1)$ with the tri-diagonal correlated coefficient ‘$\rho$’ and distance from centre ‘$d$’ is studied.

The variance function is given by

$\begin{align*}
V(\hat{Y}_u) &= V(\hat{b}_0) + [V(\hat{b}_1) + 2\text{Cov}(\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_{ii})]d^2 \\
&+ V(\hat{b}_{ii})d^4
\end{align*}$

(33)

$\begin{align*}
V(\hat{Y}_u) &= \frac{0.3750(1 + \rho)\sigma^2}{320[0.3750 - 0.1800(1 - \rho)]} \\
&+ \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)}{48} + 2 \left( \frac{-0.1500\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)}{320[0.3750 - 0.1800(1 - \rho)]} \right)d^2 \\
&+ \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)[0.3375 - 0.1575(1 - \rho)]}{24[0.3750 - 0.1800(1 - \rho)]}d^4
\end{align*}$

(34)

$V(\hat{Y}_u) = 0.0034$ (by taking $d=0.1$, $\rho=-0.9$ and $\sigma=1$).

For a given $v$, the study of variance function of response at different design points for SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using SUBA with two unequal block sizes for “$v = 8$ factor” and distance from centre $d$ for $d=0.1$ (0.1) 1 are given in table-III and IV.

In the first row of table-III, we calculate the variance of the estimated response for different factors of ‘$v$’ at ‘$\rho=-0.9$’.

In the first row of table-IV, we study the dependence of variance function at different design points for $d=0.1(0.1)1$ at ‘$\rho=-0.9$’.

**Conclusion:** We may point out here that the SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using SUBA with two unequal block sizes with parameters $(v=8, b=12, r=4, k_1=2, k_2=3, b_1=4, b_2=8, \lambda=1)$ has 320 design points for $8$- factors where as the corresponding SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using BIBD with
parameters \((v=8, b=28, r=7, k=2, \lambda=1)\) needs 352 design points and SORD under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using PBD with parameters \((v=8, b=15, r=6, k_1=4, k_2=3, k_3=2, \lambda=2)\) needs 480 design points. Therefore, this method gives less number of design points than the corresponding SORD under tri-diagonal structure of errors using BIBD and PBD.

5. Results and Conclusions:

From the table-I and table-II we observed that,

(i) \(V(\bar{Y})\) is slightly increasing for different values of \(\rho\) is increasing.

(ii) \(d=0.1(0.1)\) 1.0, for given \(v, \rho; V(\bar{Y})\) is slightly increasing.

(iii) The above two structures sometimes gives less number of design points when compared with other designs.

Here we give the tables for \(v=8\) factor of SORD under tridiagonal correlated error structure using PBD and SORD under tri-diagonal correlated error structure using SUBA with two unequal block sizes are given in the appendix. Remaining all tables are present in the following Hyperlink.(www.satishmaster.page.tl)
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Results for all factors
Table : I
Variance of the estimated response for the v=8 factor under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using PBD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \rho )</th>
<th>( \text{V}(\hat{Y}_v) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(-0.9)</td>
<td>(0.0024\sigma^2-0.0007\sigma^2d^2+0.0034\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.8)</td>
<td>(0.0031\sigma^2+0.0004\sigma^2d^2+0.0060\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.7)</td>
<td>(0.0034\sigma^2+0.0018\sigma^2d^2+0.0081\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.6)</td>
<td>(0.0036\sigma^2+0.0032\sigma^2d^2+0.0098\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.5)</td>
<td>(0.0037\sigma^2+0.0045\sigma^2d^2+0.0113\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.4)</td>
<td>(0.0038\sigma^2+0.0055\sigma^2d^2+0.0125\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.3)</td>
<td>(0.0039\sigma^2+0.0065\sigma^2d^2+0.0134\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.2)</td>
<td>(0.0039\sigma^2+0.0072\sigma^2d^2+0.0140\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.1)</td>
<td>(0.0040\sigma^2+0.0070\sigma^2d^2+0.0144\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0.0040\sigma^2+0.0080\sigma^2d^2+0.0144\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>(0.0040\sigma^2+0.0081\sigma^2d^2+0.0142\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(0.0041\sigma^2+0.0081\sigma^2d^2+0.0137\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>(0.0041\sigma^2+0.0078\sigma^2d^2+0.0130\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>(0.0041\sigma^2+0.0073\sigma^2d^2+0.0119\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(0.0041\sigma^2+0.0066\sigma^2d^2+0.0106\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>(0.0041\sigma^2+0.0057\sigma^2d^2+0.0091\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>(0.0041\sigma^2+0.0046\sigma^2d^2+0.0072\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>(0.0041\sigma^2+0.0033\sigma^2d^2+0.0051\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>(0.0042\sigma^2+0.0017\sigma^2d^2+0.0027\sigma^2d^4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE-II: Study of the dependence of the variance function at different design points**

\( (v=8, b=15, r=6, k_1=4, k_2=3, k_3=2, \lambda=2) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>d=0.1</th>
<th>d=0.2</th>
<th>d=0.3</th>
<th>d=0.4</th>
<th>d=0.5</th>
<th>d=0.6</th>
<th>d=0.7</th>
<th>d=0.8</th>
<th>d=0.9</th>
<th>d=1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.1</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.2</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.3</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.4</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.5</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.6</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.7</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.8</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.9</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table: III

Variance of the estimated response for \( v=8 \) factor under tri-diagonal correlated structure of errors using SUBA with two unequal block sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \rho )</th>
<th>( V(\hat{Y}_u) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( -0.9 )</td>
<td>( 0.0036\sigma^2+0.0014\sigma^2d^4+0.0092\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -0.8 )</td>
<td>( 0.0046\sigma^4+0.0009\sigma^2d^2+0.0159\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -0.7 )</td>
<td>( 0.0051\sigma^4+0.0037\sigma^2d^2+0.0215\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -0.6 )</td>
<td>( 0.0054\sigma^4+0.0064\sigma^2d^2+0.0262\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -0.5 )</td>
<td>( 0.0056\sigma^4+0.0089\sigma^2d^2+0.0301\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -0.4 )</td>
<td>( 0.0057\sigma^4+0.0111\sigma^2d^2+0.0333\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -0.3 )</td>
<td>( 0.0058\sigma^4+0.0129\sigma^2d^2+0.0357\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -0.2 )</td>
<td>( 0.0059\sigma^4+0.0143\sigma^2d^2+0.0374\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -0.1 )</td>
<td>( 0.0060\sigma^4+0.0154\sigma^2d^2+0.0383\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0 )</td>
<td>( 0.0060\sigma^4+0.0160\sigma^2d^2+0.0385\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.1 )</td>
<td>( 0.0061\sigma^4+0.0163\sigma^2d^2+0.0379\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.2 )</td>
<td>( 0.0061\sigma^4+0.0161\sigma^2d^2+0.0366\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.3 )</td>
<td>( 0.0061\sigma^4+0.0155\sigma^2d^2+0.0346\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.4 )</td>
<td>( 0.0061\sigma^4+0.0146\sigma^2d^2+0.0319\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.5 )</td>
<td>( 0.0062\sigma^4+0.0132\sigma^2d^2+0.0284\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.6 )</td>
<td>( 0.0062\sigma^4+0.0114\sigma^2d^2+0.0242\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.7 )</td>
<td>( 0.0062\sigma^4+0.0091\sigma^2d^2+0.0192\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.8 )</td>
<td>( 0.0062\sigma^4+0.0065\sigma^2d^2+0.0135\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0.9 )</td>
<td>( 0.0062\sigma^4+0.0035\sigma^2d^2+0.0071\sigma^4d^4 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE-IV: Study of the dependence of the variance function at different design points

\((v=8, b=12, r=4, k_1=2, k_2=3, b_1=4, b_2=8, \lambda=1)\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(d)</th>
<th>(d)</th>
<th>(d)</th>
<th>(d)</th>
<th>(d)</th>
<th>(d)</th>
<th>(d)</th>
<th>(d)</th>
<th>(d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>0.0114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>0.0117</td>
<td>0.0157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>0.0121</td>
<td>0.0163</td>
<td>0.0222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0111</td>
<td>0.0148</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
<td>0.0278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>0.0172</td>
<td>0.0236</td>
<td>0.0326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.0191</td>
<td>0.0264</td>
<td>0.0365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
<td>0.0151</td>
<td>0.0207</td>
<td>0.0287</td>
<td>0.0397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>0.0118</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
<td>0.0219</td>
<td>0.0304</td>
<td>0.0421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
<td>0.0227</td>
<td>0.0315</td>
<td>0.0436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>0.0231</td>
<td>0.0320</td>
<td>0.0442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
<td>0.0169</td>
<td>0.0232</td>
<td>0.0320</td>
<td>0.0441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>0.0166</td>
<td>0.0228</td>
<td>0.0314</td>
<td>0.0432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.0121</td>
<td>0.0162</td>
<td>0.0220</td>
<td>0.0302</td>
<td>0.0414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>0.0117</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
<td>0.0209</td>
<td>0.0285</td>
<td>0.0388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
<td>0.0146</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
<td>0.0263</td>
<td>0.0355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>0.0134</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
<td>0.0234</td>
<td>0.0313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>0.0199</td>
<td>0.0262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
<td>0.0137</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>